

The 10 Core Measures



Access and Fairness **1**

definition: Ratings of court users on the court's accessibility and its treatment of customers in terms of fairness, equality, and respect.

purpose: Many assume that "winning" or "losing" is what matters most to citizens when dealing with the courts. However, research consistently shows that positive perceptions of court experience are shaped more by court users' perceptions of how they are treated in court, and whether the court's process of making decisions seems fair. This measure provides a tool for surveying all court users about their experience in the courthouse. Comparison of results by location, division, type of customer, and across courts can inform court management practices.

Clearance Rates **2**

definition: The number of outgoing cases as a percentage of the number of incoming cases.

purpose: Clearance rate measures whether the court is keeping up with its incoming caseload. If cases are not disposed of in a timely manner, a backlog of cases awaiting disposition will grow. This performance measure is a single number that can be compared within the court for any and all case types, on a monthly or yearly basis, or between one court and another. Knowledge of clearance rates by case type can help a court pinpoint emerging problems and indicate where improvements can be made.

Time to Disposition **3**

definition: The percentage of cases disposed or otherwise resolved within established time frames

purpose: This measure, used in conjunction with Clearance Rates (Measure 2) and Age of Active Pending Caseload (Measure 4), is a fundamental management tool that assesses the length of time it takes a court to process cases. It compares a court's performance with local, state, or national guidelines for timely case processing.

Age of Active Pending Caseload **4**

definition: The age of the active cases pending before the court, measured as the number of days from filing until the time of measurement.

purpose: Knowing the age of the active cases pending before the court is most useful for addressing three related questions: Does a backlog exist? Which cases are a problem? Given past and present performance, what is expected in the future?

Trial Date Certainty **5**

definition: The number of times cases disposed by trial are scheduled for trial.

purpose: A court's ability to hold trials on the first date they are scheduled to be heard (trial date certainty) is closely associated with timely case disposition. This measure provides a tool to evaluate the effectiveness of calendaring and continuance practices. For this measure, "trials" includes jury trials, bench trials (also known as nonjury trials), and adjudicatory hearings in juvenile cases.



Reliability and Integrity of Case Files **6**

definition: The percentage of files that can be retrieved within established time standards, and that meet established standards for completeness and accuracy of contents.

purpose: A reliable and accurate case file system is fundamental to the effectiveness of day-to-day court operations and fairness of judicial decisions. The maintenance of case records directly affects the timeliness and integrity of case processing. This measure provides information regarding (a) how long it takes to locate a file, (b) whether the file's contents and case summary information match up, and (c) the organization and completeness of the file.

Collection of Monetary Penalties **7**

definition: Payments collected and distributed within established timelines, expressed as a percentage of total monetary penalties ordered in specific cases.

purpose: Integrity and public trust in the dispute resolution process depends in part on how well court orders are observed and enforced in cases of noncompliance. In particular, restitution for crime victims and accountability for enforcement of monetary penalties imposed on criminals are issues of intense public interest and concern. The focus of this measure is on the extent to which a court takes responsibility for the enforcement of orders requiring payment of monetary penalties.

Effective Use of Jurors **8**

definition: Juror yield is the number of citizens selected for jury duty who are qualified and report to serve, expressed as a percentage of the total number of prospective jurors available. Juror utilization is the rate at which prospective jurors are used at least once in trial or voir dire.

purpose: The percentage of citizens available to serve relates to the integrity of source lists, the effectiveness of jury management practices, the willingness of citizens to serve, the efficacy of excuse and postponement policies, and the number of exemptions allowed. The objective of this measure is to minimize the number of unused prospective jurors—the number of citizens who are summoned, qualified, report for jury service, and who are not needed.

Court Employee Satisfaction **9**

definition: Ratings of court employees assessing the quality of the work environment and relations between staff and management.

purpose: Committed and loyal employees have a direct impact on a court's performance. This measure is a powerful tool for surveying employee opinion on whether staff have the materials, motivation, direction, sense of mission, and commitment to do quality work. Knowing how employees perceive the workplace is essential to facilitate organizational development and change, assess teamwork and management style, enhance job satisfaction, and thus improve service to the public.

Cost Per Case **10**

definition: The average cost of processing a single case, by case type.

purpose: Monitoring cost per case, from year to year, provides a practical means to evaluate existing case processing practices and to improve court operations. Cost per case forges a direct connection between how much is spent and what is accomplished. This measure can be used to assess return on investment in new technologies, reengineering of business practices, staff training, or the adoption of “best practices.”

